Cabinet



Title of Report: **Revenues Collection** Performance and Write-Offs **Report No:** CAB/SE/16/015 **Report to and date:** Cabinet 29 March 2016 Portfolio holder: Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance **Tel:** 01284 810074 **Email**: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk Lead officer: Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email:rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk **Purpose of report:** To consider the current revenue collection performance and to consider writing off outstanding debts, as detailed in the exempt appendices. That the write-off of the amounts detailed in the **Recommendation:** exempt appendices to Report No: CAB/SE/16/015 be approved, as follows: (1) **Exempt Appendix 1: Council Tax totalling** £14,777.26 (2) **Exempt Appendix 2: Housing Benefit** overpayments £10,584.56 **Key Decision:** Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? (Check the appropriate Yes, it is a Key Decision - \Box box and delete all those No, it is not a Key Decision - \boxtimes that **<u>do not</u>** apply.) This decision is stated as a Key Decision on the Decisions Plan published on 26 February 2016; however, given the amounts requested to be writtenoff, this no longer constitutes a Key Decision. The key decision made as a result of this report will be published within 48 hours and cannot be actioned until seven working days have elapsed. This

item is included on the Decisions Plan.

Consultation:					Portfolio Holder for	
_			ources and Performance have been sulted with on the proposed write-offs.			
			aragraphs 2.1 and 2.2			
Implications:	(3)		nagraphs		.2	
Are there any financial implications?			Yes 🛛 No 🗆			
If yes, please give details			• See paragraphs 3.1 to 3.3			
Are there any staffing implications?			Yes 🗆 No 🛛			
If yes, please give details			•			
Are there any ICT implications? If			Yes 🗆 No 🛛			
yes, please give details			•			
Are there any legal and/or policy <i>implications? If yes, please give</i> <i>details</i> Are there any equality <i>implications?</i> <i>If yes, please give details</i>			 Yes ⊠ No □ The recovery procedures followed have been previously agreed; writing off uncollectable debt allows staff to focus recovery action on debt which is recoverable. Yes ⊠ No □ The application of predetermined recovery procedures ensures that everybody is treated consistently. Failure to collect any debt impacts on either the levels of service provision or the levels of charges. All available remedies are used to recover the debt before write off is considered. 			
			 The provision of services by the Council applies to everyone in the area 			
Risk/opportunity assessment:		t:	area. (potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)			
Risk area	Inherent lev	vel of			Residual risk (after	
	risk (before				controls)	
Debts are written off which could have been collected.	<u>controls)</u> Medium		procedure place to e all possib mechanis	ensure that le ms are d before a	Low	
Ward(s) affected:			All wards are affected.			
Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)		None				
Documents attached:		Exempt Appendix 1: Council Tax £14,777.26 Exempt Appendix 2: Housing Benefit Overpayments £10,584.56				

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1 The Revenues Section collects outstanding debts in accordance with either statutory guidelines or Council agreed procedures.
- 1.2 When all these procedures have been exhausted the outstanding debt is written off using the delegated authority of the Head of Resources and Performance for debts up to £2,499.99 or by Cabinet for debts over £2,500.00.
- 1.3 It is best practice to monitor the recovery procedures for outstanding debts regularly and, when appropriate, write off irrecoverable debts.
- 1.4 Provision for irrecoverable debts is included both in the Collection Fund and the General Fund and writing off debts that are known to be irrecoverable ensures that staff are focussed on achieving good collection levels in respect of the recoverable debt.

2. Alternative options

- 2.1 The Council currently uses the services of the ARP Enforcement Agency to assist in the collection of business rates and Council Tax and also has on line tracing facilities. It is not considered appropriate to pass the debts on to another agency.
- 2.2 It should be noted that in the event that a written-off debt become recoverable, the amount is written back on, and enforcement procedures are re-established. This might happen, for example, if someone has gone away with no trace, and then they are unexpectedly 'found' again, through whatever route.

3. Financial implications and collection performance

- 3.1 Provision is made in the accounts for non recovery but the total amounts to be written off are as follows with full details shown in Exempt Appendices 1 and 2.
- 3.2 As at 29 February 2016, the total National Non Domestic Rates (NNDR) billed by Anglia Revenues Partnership on behalf of St Edmundsbury Borough Council (as the billing Authority) is nearly £48.6 million per annum. The collection rate as at 29 February 2016 was 95.61% against a profile of 95.12%.
- 3.3 As at 29 February 2016, the total Council Tax billed by Anglia Revenues Partnership on behalf of St Edmundsbury Borough Council (includes the County, Police and Parish precept elements) is just under £54.2 million per annum. The collection rate as at 29 February 2016 was 96.55% against a profile target of 97.38%